UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,349	03/12/2004	Xiangrong Liu	030807-144	5616
	03/12/2004 Xiangrong Liu 030807-144 5616 7590 12/15/2008 N, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,349	LIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven L. Weinstein	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	iaust 2008.				
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<i>,</i> —	·—				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,10,13-20 and 23-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9,10,13-20, and 23-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
1 apor 110(0)/111ain Dato					

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-7,9,10,13-20, and 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hankinson et al (2003/0198716), in view of Martin et al (5,912,034), or vice versa, i.e., Martin et al in view of Hankinson et al, further in view of Shenouda et al (4,814,193), Alderton (3,328,178), Creston Valley Foods (GB 1510883), and Allen (1,098,006), further in view of Martin et al (6,500,476), Beelman et al (5,919,507), Beelman et al (2003/0170354), Sapers et al (4,814,192), Farrier et al (4,011,348), and Reyes (6,159,512), essentially for the reasons of record in the Office actions mailed 2/13/07, 9/28/07, and 4/21/08.

The amendment filed 8/20/08 now adds new claim 34. Like the previous claims, claim 34 recites two sequential steps of contacting mushrooms with aqueous solutions wherein the first step has a low pH and the second step has a higher pH, both previously recited. Claim 34 newly recites the ingredients of each solution and that each solution "consists essentially" of these ingredients. As noted previously, acidification with follow-up neutralization, as well as chelating and antioxidant treatments, are notoriously conventional in the art as are the recited chemical substances that will cause a lowering of the pH, the rising of the pH, and the reduction in browning. Once it was known to add conventional compounds to lower and then raise the pH to eliminate

Art Unit: 1794

bacteria, while preventing product damage, and add <u>conventional</u> compounds that function as chelating and antioxidants, the particular combination of these conventional compounds is seen to have been nothing more than an obvious result effective variable, routinely and obviously determinable, and at best routinely optimizable.

All of applicants' remarks filed 8/20/08, have been fully and carefully considered, but are not found to be convincing for the reasons fully and clearly detailed as set forth in the Office actions of record. The urgings are seen to be, at best, restatements of urgings previously considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven L. Weinstein whose telephone number is 571-272-1410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 A.M.-2:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,349 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steve Weinstein/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794